Investigating the Influence of Behavioral Aptitude on investor's investment preference

Mr. Yash Chauhan[1]

Department of Management, Assistant Professor, Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Landran, Mohali, India.

Mr. Lovedeep Singh Sidhu[2]

Department of Management, Assistant Professor, Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Landran, Mohali, India.

Department of Management, Research Scholar, I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala, India.

Abstract

The study determined the impact of Behavioral Aptitude on investor's investment preference of 52 respondents in Dharmshala and nearby places. This research examined some of the existing theories relevant to the behavioral factors and behavioral finance under the theoretical literature review, in which descriptive design was used. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and regression model were used to summarize the research findings. Representativeness Aptitude highly affected by their decisions. Herd Instinct aptitude emerging as the least significant factor determining the individual investor's behavior with a low mean. Furthermore, it will help individual for their self-evaluation and formulation of proper strategies for their future decisions

Keywords: *Behavioral finance, investment preference, behavioral aptitudes, investors.*

Introduction

Since for a long time, everyone imagined that conventional fund speculations are precise in light of the fact that they express that financial specialists generally think judiciously and settle on conscious choices before putting resources into any venture, in view of different estimations or utilizing finance models like APT, portfolio hypothesis, CAPM, option pricing model. In others word, the world and its members are, generally, levelheaded "wealth maximizers". In any case, after several examinations, there was an observation that human choices about contributing regularly rely upon their inclination, propensities, instincts, intellectual or enthusiastic predispositions covered up profoundly at the rear of one's psyche which cause us to carry on in eccentric or silly manners. Another new field i.e. Behavioral finance, which is contrary to traditional finance theory have started to create subsequent enough data that affirm specific human conduct assumes a significant job in taking choices about the investment preference. Behavioral finance is another field, that has advanced

recently, which looks to consolidate customary conduct of individuals and subjective mental speculations with traditional financial aspects, that we study, and account to give clarifications and reasons, that why individuals settle on silly monetary choices before arriving any decision regarding the investment. Behavioral finance speculations depend on psychological brain science of a person, which recommends that human choice procedures depend on different intellectual fantasies. These psychological figments can be gathered into two orders: hallucinations brought about by the selection of mental frames and deceptions because of heuristic choice procedures, which are advantageously assembled in the possibility hypothesis. These two classifications structure the premise of the behavioral theories. In this worldwide market, the venture choice dependent on estimates and the extraordinary information on advertise members are getting increasingly ridiculous in nowadays. Speculators fall prey to their own and once in a while other's slip-ups because of the utilization of feelings in monetary dynamic. Different changes like value instability, varieties of monetary condition in the market grossly affect financial specialist's reasoning and their choices. People speculators continually feel the dread of losing cash in exchanging, so he/she hastily respond to the market changes. In this way, Behavioral account attempts to see how individuals overlook basics ideas and make speculations dependent on their own feelings. More precisely, behavioral finance speaks to a zone of study that endeavors to comprehend and clarify how thinking or subjective mistakes impact financial specialist choices and securities exchange costs.

Literature Review

Lindblom and Platan (2002) observed factors affecting the theoretical bubble throughout the period from year 1998 to March, 2000 by studying 160 private speculators from aktiespararna association in Southern Sweden in Dec, 2001 and 47 institutional financial specialists containing banks, financial institutions and investment banks was directed through poll. The investigation inferred that cognitive dissonance, herd instincts, anchoring and loss aversion contributed altogether to the theoretical bubble just as presumptuousness. (Thaler and Banartzi, 2005) show proof of obviously unreasonable financial specialist conduct where speculators follow "1/n" portion rule across venture decisions paying little heed to the stock-bond blend of the accessible decisions. (Oduor, Aduda, and Onwonga, 2012) while leading their examination on "the conduct and money related execution of individual financial specialists in the exchanging portions of organizations recorded at the Nairobi Stock Exchange, Kenya" with the principal target of their investigation being to discover how singular speculators make their venture decisions", they discovered that, effect from companions; where majority of financial specialists depended on counsel from partners, companions (3.65 on a Likert size of 1 to 5) former choosing to go for stocks and; prevalent sentiment about the market (3.58) and from ongoing pattern in share value developments (3.53), were away from of group conduct existing in NSE. (Huberman, 2011) showed that speculators have confined inclinations for stock by reporting their inclinations for holding stocks in a provincial organization in inclination to different ventures. Grinblatt and Keloharju (2001) stated that finish operators are increasingly inclined to hold stock in firms which are found near the financial specialist. (Goetzman, 2003) show individual speculators who are youthful and less well-off hold progressively under-expanded portfolios, proposing that they may display more grounded conduct predispositions. (Yvan, 2010) in an investigation analyzing whether the african growth opportunity act enactment has had any effect available returns in Kenya recognized that there are in every case some exchange obstructions or limitations that are not expelled by unhindered commerce understandings and that numerous organizations recorded on the NSE send out their items to the US under the AGOA understanding. Right now, noticed that the financial exchange responds to various

occasions. In their talk of money related capacity, FSD Kenya (2009) proposed that the significance of the term ought to be comprehended in setting. They contend that in a created nation setting, a monetarily skilled individual would have home, vehicle and disaster protection to manage dangers. Be that as it may, in a situation where customers have a not insignificant rundown of concurrent dangers however scarcely any protection items with which to oversee them, a monetarily proficient individual would be better characterized as having a reasonable, self-characterized technique, sponsored by enough sparing and acquiring assets, to deal with their vulnerabilities. Lots of researches had been done on behavioral finance which talk about these issues i.e. representativeness bias, hindsight, cognitive dissonance, availability and self-attribution bias, loss aversion, regret aversion, illusion of control, overconfidence, and over-optimizing biases. Furthermore, it is also investigated the age, gender, peer education and experience are influential on investment decisions. But, none of the studies have studied the combine impact of these factors on investors. Also, these studies are done outside India and none of the study is done in local market. Studies had also shown that both individuals and institutional investors are affected by emotions and cognitive influences while making investment preference but not to the extent of showing all the factors and how they affect investment decisions. So, these are questions which are still unanswered that is why this research is done to fulfill this gap.

Research Methodology

Statement of Problem

There is an enormous brain research writing on conduct Finance reporting that individuals make methodical blunders in the manner that they think; they are presumptuous, they put an excessive amount of weight on ongoing experience and so forth this inclination may make distortion in the venture choice. The field of behavioral finance endeavors to examine the mental and sociological issues that impact investment preference making procedure investor. Specialists have anyhow confirmed that due to market inefficiencies aspects, the standard finance models utilized by advertise experts have ignored to represent the market abnormalities. One can subsequently assume that people are objective and, in this manner, carefully watch and adhere to the standard finance models in decision making for investment. local research and studies have not pleasingly tended with the impacts of behavioral aptitude of investment preference in local market like in India. There is a gap in relevant past research in developing nations especially in India which is a growing security market. Subsequently, it is a small research that especially study the impact of Behavioral Aptitude on investor's investment preferences in Dharmshala and nearby places.

Objective of the Study

The prime objective of this research paper is to determine impact of Behavioral aptitude on investor's investment preferences in Dharmshala and nearby places. The behavioral aptitude factors included in this study are representativeness aptitude, cognitive dissonance aptitude, loss aversion aptitude, herd instinct aptitude, illusion of control aptitude, regret aversion aptitude, hindsight aptitude, over-Optimism aptitude, self-attribution aptitude, anchoring aptitude, mental accounting aptitude.

Research design

Descriptive research is opted as research design. This study is quantitative in nature and generalized to individual investors. The targeted ppulation of the study is individual investors who invest in stock market in Dharmshala and nearby places. The data for this study is collected through convenience sampling. Total 52 respondent's responses are recorded with the help of SPSS software. For this study, primary data was used by approaching the respondents personally. The questionnaire contains closed type of questions and Likert scale questions. As already explained primary data had been used for this study. This primary data had been collected with the help questionnaire by personally approaching the respondents and by online mode with help of google forms by sending questionnaire to emails. The questionnaire consists of closed ended questions.

Data analysis

The response of the respondents gives quantitative data which was coded and filled in statistical packages for social scientists, and then summarized by graphical and descriptive statistics.

Graphical and Descriptive statistics includes various statistical tools like graphs, percentages, averages, which were used in this study. In addition, the study conducted a Linear regression analysis. This has provided the generalization of the findings on influence of behavioral aptitude on investor's preference.

The regression equation given below was used:

$$y = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta x_3 + \beta_4 x_4 + \beta_5 x_5 + \beta_6 x_6 + \beta_7 x_7 + \beta_8 x_8 + \beta_9 x_9 + \beta_{10} x_{10} + \beta_{11} x_{11}$$

here the variables are:

y = The dependent factor which represents the investor's preference.

α = constant term which is intercept

x_1 = Representativeness Aptitude

x_2 = Cognitive Dissonance Aptitude

x_3 = Loss Aversion Aptitude

x_4 = Herd Instinct Aptitude

x_5 = Illusion of Control Aptitude

x_6 = Regret Aversion Aptitude

x_7 = Hindsight Aptitude

x_8 = Over-Optimism Aptitude

x_9 = Self-Attribution Aptitude

x_{10} = Anchoring Aptitude

x_{11} = Mental Accounting Aptitude

$\beta_1 x_1 \ldots \ldots \beta_n x_n$ represents the predictors.

Regression analysis was performed with SPSS as statistics software. The β coefficients from the condition above speak to the quality and course of the connection between the independent and dependent factors.Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) was utilized for data analysis. Regression analysis and Graphic insight were utilized to summarize the outcomes as follows.:

Table 1: Correlation between Investment decision and behavioral factors

	Average return

		from the day you are trading.
Representativeness Aptitude [My past encounters influence my current speculation decisions.]	Pearson Correlation	.098
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.492
	N	52
Cognitive Dissonance Aptitude [I am holding my current speculations since selling them will be agonize me since I will acquire loss.]	Pearson Correlation	-.240
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.087
	N	52
Loss Aversion Aptitude [I expect to sell my speculations promptly it will return to the acquisition price.]	Pearson Correlation	-.204
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.146
	N	52
Herd Instinct Aptitude [Thinking for quite a while about something gives me little happiness about my investment preference]	Pearson Correlation	-.320[*]
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.021
	N	52
Illusion of Control Aptitude [I was educated pretty much all the data of the organization and I am certain about making my investments.]	Pearson Correlation	-.054
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.702
	N	52
Regret Aversion Aptitude [I am holding my investment since I realize the costs will return soon]	Pearson Correlation	.200
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.154
	N	52
Hindsight Aptitude [The past benefits created from comparable speculations by the organization made me extremely appealing to put resources into it]	Pearson Correlation	-.036
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.798
	N	52
Over-Optimism Aptitude [When it comes to confiding in individuals, as a rule, I depend on my "gut feelings"".]	Pearson Correlation	.136
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.335
	N	52
Self-Attribution Aptitude [that the last venture was to a greater extent a misfortune than it was my own misguided thinking]	Pearson Correlation	.173
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.220
	N	52
Anchoring aptitude [I typically estimate the adjustments in stock costs of organizations which	Pearson Correlation	.195

dependent on the ongoing stock costs of that company]	Sig. (2-tailed)	.166
	N	52
Mental Accounting Aptitude [I overlook the association between changed speculation prospects and attempt to treat every data of my venture portfolio separately]	Pearson Correlation	-.075
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.597
	N	52
Average return from a day that you are trading.	Pearson Correlation	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	
	N	52

This analysis has helped researcher to discover the relation between investor's preference and behavioural aptitudes. Dependent variable is average return of the respondents and independent variable are the others factors that the researcher has mentioned below. Table 1 shows Pearson Correlation coefficients which is analyzed through SPSS. The table shows outcomes of individual investor decisions were significantly correlated to: representativeness aptitude (r=0.908); regret aversion Aptitude (r=.200); over-optimism aptitude (r=.136); self-attribution aptitude (r=-.173); Anchoring aptitude (r=-.195). These statistically significant correlations recommend that these components of conduct factors impact investor's preference. In any case, investor's preference results were not essentially identified with Cognitive Dissonance aptitude (r=-.240); loss aversion aptitude (r=-.204); Herd Instinct aptitude (r=-320); Illusion of control aptitude (r=-.054); Hindsight aptitude (r=-.036); mental accounting aptitude (r=.075).

Regression Analysis:
Table 2: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.517[a]	.267	.066	1.403

a. Predictors: (Constant), Mental Accounting aptitude, Herd Instinct aptitude, Over-Optimism aptitude, Regret Aversion aptitude, Anchoring aptitude, Illusion of Control aptitude, Self-Attribution aptitude, Cognitive Dissonance aptitude, Loss Aversion aptitude
b. Dependent Variable: Average return from the day you are trading.

A regression analysis of the effect of behavioural aptitudes on investor's preference was made to decide the degree to which such predispositions clarified investor's preference. Table 2 depicts the model summary and indicate that the R^2=.517 adjusted to .267. Here R^2=.517 which shows that there is medium degree of correlation. Also, R^2 adjusted=.267 which means 26.7% of the variance in investor's preference is explained by the regression model. The adjusted R^2=.066 means that 6.6% of the variance in investor's preference is elucidated by regression model derived from sample population of the study.

ANOVA[a]

Table 3: ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	22.736	11	2.613	1.328	.245[b]
	Residual	78.704	40	1.968		
	Total	107.442	51			

a. Dependent Variable: Average return from the day you are trading.
b. Predictors: (Constant), Mental Accounting aptitude, Herd Instinct aptitude, Over-Optimism aptitude, Regret Aversion aptitude, Anchoring aptitude, Illusion of Control aptitude, Self-Attribution aptitude, Cognitive Dissonance aptitude, Loss Aversion aptitude

Table 3, specifies that regression model forecasts the dependent variable substantially. Here the dependent variable is Average return from the day they are trading and significant value is .245 which is more than .005. this may be due to the smaller number of respondents in the survey.

$$Y = 11.821 - .012x_1 - .185x_2 + .042x_3 - .542x_4 + .027x_5 + .191x_6 - .271x_7 + .092x_8 + .158x_9 + .310x_{10} - .011x_{11}$$

Table 4: Cofficients of Average Return

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	11.821	1.670		7.079	.000
	Representativeness Aptitude [My past encounters influence my current speculation decisions.]	-.012	.371	-.005	-.032	.974
	Cognitive Dissonance Aptitude [I am holding my current speculations since selling them will be agonize me since I will acquire loss.]	-.185	.229	-.136	-.809	.423
	Loss Aversion Aptitude [I expect to sell my speculations promptly it will return to the acquisition price.]	.042	.292	.027	.144	.886
	Herd Instinct Aptitude [Thinking for quite a while about something gives me little happiness about my investment preference]	-.542	.237	-.403	-2.284	.028
	Illusion of Control Aptitude [I was educated pretty much all the data of the organization and I am certain about making my	.027	.258	.016	.103	.918

investments.]					
Regret Aversion Aptitude [I am holding my investment since I realize the costs will return soon]	.191	.221	.138	.866	.392
Hindsight Aptitude [The past benefits created from comparable speculations by the organization made me extremely appealing to put resources into it]	-.271	.319	-.141	-.849	.401
Over-Optimism Aptitude [When it comes to confiding in individuals, as a rule, I depend on my "gut feelings"".]	.092	.151	.088	.608	.547
Self-Attribution Aptitude [that the last venture was to a greater extent a misfortune than it was my own misguided thinking]	.158	.217	.117	.727	.471
Anchoring aptitude [I typically estimate the adjustments in stock costs of organizations which dependent on the ongoing stock costs of that company]	.310	.196	.243	1.584	.121
Mental Accounting Aptitude [I overlook the association between changed speculation prospects and attempt to treat every data of my venture portfolio separately]	-.011	.236	-.008	-.047	.963
a. Dependent Variable: Average return from the day you are trading.					

The research investigates the connections between investor preference and behavioural aptitudes of the investor's decisions. The respondents were asked to set up how a specific factor impacts their choices to contribute. The impacts of Representativeness Aptitude, Cognitive Dissonance aptitude, Mental Accounting Aptitude, , Herd Instinct Aptitude, Illusion of Control Aptitude, Regret Aversion Aptitude, Over-Optimism Aptitude, Self-Attribution Aptitude, , Hindsight Aptitude, Anchoring Aptitude and Loss Aversion Aptitude were examined in subtleties. Significant research indicated that preference of investors choices were altogether associated to: representativeness aptitude (r=0.908); regret aversion aptitude (r=.200); over-optimism aptitude (r=.136); self-attribution aptitude (r=-.173); Anchoring aptitude (r=-.195). These factually noteworthy relationships propose that these components of behavioral aptitudes impact investors preference. But, investor's preferences were not fundamentally identified with Cognitive Dissonance aptitude (r=-.240); loss aversion aptitude (r=-.204); Herd Instinct aptitude (r=-320); Illusion of control aptitude (r=-.054); Hindsight aptitude (r=-.036); mental accounting aptitude (r=.075).

Conclusion

Fruitful contribution is more than picking a specific stock; it is additionally how you approach doing it. This is accomplished through staying rational, picking a couple of stocks that are probably going to beat the market, being firm to hang on them during transient market instability, monitoring them and controlling overabundance positive and negativity

thinking. Practically speaking, this has not generally been seen. The field of behavioral finance has created in light of the expanding number of securities exchange oddities (undervaluation or overvaluation) and was not clarified by financial models. That is the reason this investigation had been done to discover which variables affected the preference of the investor's investment. In this research paper, it was discovered that their choices are impacted by the conduct of the biases rather than being sound. The components that were generally pervasive among investor's investment was as representativeness aptitude, which prompts investor's previous history affecting their current investment preference. Likewise, the investor's investment is additionally affected by Regret Aversion aptitude in which investor is holding to the speculation since financial specialist realizes the costs will return soon. investors are likewise impacted by Over-Optimism Aptitude in which they for the most part depend on their "gut feeling, with respect to trusting people. Another factor which common among investors is Self-Attribution Aptitude in which the investors feel that the last venture was to a greater extent a misfortune than it was their own misguided thinking. Last factor which for the most part impact the investor preference is Anchoring aptitude i.e. financial specialist conjecture the adjustments in stock costs prices which dependent on the ongoing stock costs. But investors preferences were not vulnerable to propositions these aptitude factors Cognitive Dissonance loss aversion aptitude, Herd Instinct aptitude, Illusion of control aptitude, Hindsight aptitude, mental accounting aptitude.

Research implication

This research add value to the current field of behavioral finance. Moreover. it will act as a perspective material for future researchers and specialists who might want to propel their insight in the field behavioural finance. For stockbrokers and many financial institutions, it is extremely recipient. It will able to distinguish both the subjective and passionate predispositions that mostly impact financial specialist inclinations and speculation choices. In this way, stockbrokers and financial institutions can appropriately teach investors on the best way to use their predispositions. Further it will help individual for their self-assessment and plan of appropriate techniques for their future choices. Moreover, future research scholars should base their research on a bigger sample to expand the subjective information and dependability of the outcome for motivations behind desirable discoveries and accomplishing their objectives completely. Venture Banks and potential Investors can likewise be remembered for additional exploration which may give new data and structure premise of more investigations. Further future research scholars could likewise embrace a blended strategy approach where both quantitative and subjective information is utilized to reinforce the discoveries of the investigation. Furthermore, a more appropriate research because of gender or age on financial specialist choices could be done to decide how gender or age would influence such investment preference.

Research Limitations

There are some limitations in this research paper. Firstly, Time was the one of the constraints. Secondly, Convenience sampling is used. Probability sampling can be used. Thirdly, there were limited number of respondents. Large sample size can be used which can yield different outcome to the current findings. The majority of the respondents are from Dharmshala and nearby places, hence a proper population sample is not representative in the study.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests:

The writers proclaimed no potential irreconcilable circumstances concerning the research, creation, as well as distribution of this article.

References

1. Clause, C. (2017). *Representativeness Heuristic: Examples & Definition*. Retrieved from Study.com: http://study.com/academy/lesson/representativeness-heuristic-examples-definition-quiz.html

2. Goetzman, W. N. (2003). Why do Individual Investors hold under diversified Portfolios?

3. *Herd Instinct*. (2017). Retrieved from Investopedia: http://www.investopedia.com/terms/h/herdinstinct.asp

4. Huberman, G. (2011). Familiarity Breeds Investment. *Review of Financial Studies*, 659-680.

5. Loomes, G., & Sugden, R. (1982). Regret Theory: An Alternative Theory of rational choice under uncertainty. *Economic Journal*.

6. McLeod, S. (2014). *Cognitive Dissonance*. Retrieved from Simply Psychology : https://www.simplypsychology.org/cognitive-dissonance.html

7. Nayamute, W., & Maina. (2010). Effect of Financial Literacy on Personal Financial Management Practices: A case Study of Employees of Finance and Banking Institutions.

8. Oduor, O. E., Aduda, J., & Onwonga, M. (2012). The Behaviour and Financial Performance of Individual Investors in The Trading Shares of Companies Listed At the Nairobi Stock Exchange, Kenya. *Journal of Finance and Investment Analysis*.

9. Phung, A. (2017, april). *Behavioral Finance*. Retrieved from Investopedia: http://www.investopedia.com/university/behavioral_finance/

10. Phung, A. (2017). *Behavioral Finance: Key Concepts - Prospect Theory*. Retrieved from Investopedia: http://www.investopedia.com/university/behavioral_finance/behavioral11.asp

11. Pompian, M. M. (2012). Behavioural Finance and Investor Types: Managing Behaviour to Make Better Investment Decisions. In N. Y. Sons, *Behavioral Finance and Investor Types.*

12. Shankar, R. G., & Babu, G. (2015). THE IMPACT OF BEHAVIORAL FINANCE ON STOCK MARKETS. 1-2.

13. Sharot, T. (2017). *The optimism bias*. Retrieved from Science direct: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982211011912

14. Sherman, B. (2017, april). *8 Common Biases That Impact Investment Decisions*. Retrieved from Investopedia: http://www.investopedia.com/advisor-network/articles/051916/8-common-biases-impact-investment-decisions/

15. Shiller, R. J. (n.d.). Survey evidence on diffusion of interest and information among investors. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization,*, 47-66.

16. Thaler, R. H., & Banartzi, S. (2005). Myopic Loss-Aversion and the Equity Premium Puzzle. *Journal of Economics*.

17. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (2017). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47, 263-291.

18. Uliana, E., & Waweru, N. M. (n.d.). The effects of behavioral factors in investment decision-making: A survey of institutional investors operating at the Nairobi Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 24-41.

Authors' Biographies

Mr. Yash Chauhan

He is working as Assistant professor in Department of Management in Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Landran, Mohali, India. To his credits, he has 2.5 years of teaching experience. His Area of research includes Behavioural finance and Consumer Behaviour.
ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6328-9097

Mr. Lovedeep Singh Sidhu

He is a doctoral candidate at I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala, India and proving his services as an Assistant Professor in Department of Management in Chandigarh Group of Colleges, Landran, Mohali, India. To his credits, he has 7.2 years of teaching experience. His area of interest in research includes social media, consumer behaviour, behavioural finance and advertising.
ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-4557-7335.